Adverse perinatal outcomes associated with HAART and monotherapy

Clara Portwood^a, Claudia Murray^a, Harriet Sexton^a, Mary Kumarendran^a, Zoe Brandon^a, Bradley Johnson^a, Shona Kirtley^b and Joris Hemelaar^a

Objectives: Assess adverse perinatal outcomes in pregnant women living with HIV (WLHIV) receiving HAART or zidovudine (ZDV) monotherapy, compared with antire-troviral therapy (ART)-naive WLHIV and HIV-negative women.

Design: Systematic review and meta-analysis.

Methods: We conducted a systematic literature review by searching PubMed, CINAHL, Global Health, and EMBASE for studies published between 1 January 1980 and 20 April 2020. We included studies reporting on the association of pregnant WLHIV receiving HAART or ZDV monotherapy with 11 perinatal outcomes: preterm birth (PTB), very PTB, spontaneous PTB (sPTB), low birth weight (LBW), very LBW, term LBW, preterm LBW, small for gestational age (SGA), very SGA (VSGA), stillbirth, and neonatal death. Random-effects meta-analyses were conducted.

Results: Sixty-one cohort studies assessing 409781 pregnant women were included. WLHIV receiving ZDV monotherapy were associated with a decreased risk of PTB [relative risk 0.70, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.62–0.79] and LBW (0.77, 0.67–0.88), and comparable risk of SGA, compared with ART-naive WLHIV. WLHIV receiving ZDV monotherapy had a comparable risk of PTB and LBW, and an increased risk of SGA (1.16, 1.04–1.30) compared with HIV-negative women. In contrast, WLHIV receiving HAART were associated with a comparable risk of PTB and LBW, and increased risk of SGA (1.38, 1.09–1.75), compared with ART-naive WLHIV. WLHIV. WLHIV receiving HAART were associated with an increased risk of PTB (1.55, 1.38–1.74), sPTB (2.09, 1.48–2.96), LBW (1.79, 1.51–2.13), term LBW (1.88, 1.23–2.85), SGA (1.80,1.34–2.40), and VSGA (1.22, 1.10–1.34) compared with HIV-negative women.

Conclusion: Pregnant WLHIV receiving HAART have an increased risk of a wide range of perinatal outcomes compared with HIV-negative women.

Copyright © 2022 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

AIDS 2022, 36:1409-1427

Keywords: antiretroviral therapy, HIV, low birthweight, meta-analysis, neonatal death, perinatal outcome, preterm birth, small for gestational age, stillbirth, systematic review

E-mail: joris.hemelaar@npeu.ox.ac.uk

Received: 17 November 2021; revised: 4 April 2022; accepted: 4 April 2022.

DOI:10.1097/QAD.00000000003248

^aNational Perinatal Epidemiology Unit, Nuffield Department of Population Health, and ^bCentre for Statistics in Medicine, Nuffield Department of Orthopaedics, Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK.

Correspondence to Dr Joris Hemelaar, National Perinatal Epidemiology Unit, Oxford Population Health, Nuffield Department of Population Health, University of Oxford, Richard Doll Building, Old Road Campus, Oxford OX3 7LF, UK.

Introduction

In 2020, 37.7 million people worldwide were living with HIV, including 19.3 million women of childbearing age [1]. Each year, an estimated 1.3 million women living with HIV (WLHIV) are pregnant, of whom the vast majority reside in sub-Saharan Africa [1]. Antiretroviral therapy (ART)-naive maternal HIV infection is associated with an increased risk of preterm birth (PTB), low birthweight (LBW), small for gestational age (SGA), and stillbirth compared with HIV-negative women [2]. Adverse perinatal outcomes are major contributors to neonatal and child mortality and morbidity, with the highest rates found in sub-Saharan Africa [3-5]. The United Nations' Sustainable Development Goal 3 (SDG3) target 3.2 aims to reduce neonatal and under-5 mortality to 12 and 25 per 1000 live births, respectively, by 2030 [6].

In 1994, a randomized controlled trial (RCT) demonstrated that antenatal zidovudine (ZDV) monotherapy reduced the risk of mother-to-child HIV transmission [7]. In the past, WHO guidelines recommended ZDV monotherapy in pregnant WLHIV to prevent vertical HIV transmission (option A), or HAART for pregnant WLHIV requiring treatment for their own health as well as prevention of vertical HIV transmission (option B) [8]. From 2013, WHO recommended that all pregnant WLHIV should receive HAART during pregnancy [9]. In 2015, this recommendation was updated so that all people living with HIV should initiate HAART as soon as possible after diagnosis, including pregnant WLHIV [10]. Consequently, the proportion of pregnant WLHIV receiving ZDV monotherapy decreased from 31 to 0% in the period 2011-2020, whereas the proportion of pregnant WLHIV receiving HAART increased from 27 to 83% during the same period [1]. These trends were accompanied by a 41% reduction in vertical HIV transmission globally during 2010–2018 [11]. However, the impact of these changes in antenatal ART regimens on other important perinatal outcomes is unknown.

Several studies suggest adverse perinatal outcomes are associated with ART exposure during pregnancy but evidence is conflicting regarding different regimens [12– 15]. A recent network meta-analysis of seven randomized controlled trials (RCTs), which compared ART regimens initiated during pregnancy, showed that a number of HAART regimens were associated with an increased risk of LBW, very LBW and PTB, compared with ZDV monotherapy [16]. Some cohort studies report that HAART exposure is associated with increased risk of PTB and LBW in pregnant WLHIV, whereas ZDV monotherapy is not [17,18]. However, others report no significant association [19].

As the number of pregnancies exposed to HAART increases and ZDV monotherapy has been phased out, it is

important to understand the effects of HAART and ZDV monotherapy on perinatal outcomes in WLHIV, and whether either therapy restores the risk of perinatal outcomes to levels seen in HIV-negative women. We conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies examining the risk of 11 specific perinatal outcomes in WLHIV receiving HAART or ZDV monotherapy, compared with ART-naive WLHIV and HIV-negative women.

Methods

Search strategy

The systematic review and meta-analyses were conducted according to a protocol developed in line with the Cochrane guidelines [20] and registered online (PROS-PERO, number CRD42021248987). A comprehensive literature search strategy, developed by a specialist librarian (S.K.), was adapted to four electronic literature databases [PubMed, CINAHL (Ebscohost), Global Health (Ovid), EMBASE (Ovid)] to search for studies published 1 January 1980-20 April 2020. Both free text and controlled vocabulary search terms for 'pregnancy outcome', 'specific perinatal outcomes', 'HIV', and 'antiretroviral therapy' were used (Appendix pp. 2-14, http://links.lww.com/ QAD/C513). Full-text articles and abstracts were considered, and no methodological, country, or language filters were applied. Retrieved citations were imported into EndNote (EndNote X9, Clarivate Analytics, Pennsylvania, USA) and deduplicated.

Study selection and eligibility criteria

Studies that contained information on the association of pregnant WLHIV receiving HAART or monotherapy (in distinct groups) with adverse perinatal outcomes were eligible. Titles and abstracts of citations were reviewed, and full text manuscripts of selected citations assessed against the eligibility criteria by at least two independent investigators (C.P., H.S., M.K., Z.B., and B.J.). Inclusion criteria were study design (cohort studies), population (pregnant women), exposure (WLHIV receiving HAART or monotherapy during pregnancy), comparators (ART-naive WLHIV or HIV-negative women). Monotherapy exposure was defined as receiving one antiretroviral drug (ZDV) during pregnancy. HAART exposure was defined as receiving any class and combination of at least three antiretroviral drugs. Single dose ART at birth or antenatal ART duration less than 30 days were not considered ART exposure. Studies were excluded if one group received a treatment, which the comparator group did not (e.g. antimalaria treatment), or if less than 95% of women in a group conformed to an exposure/comparator definition (e.g. <95% WLHIV received HAART). Studies were excluded if outcome data were not stratified by either monotherapy or HAART exposure. Perinatal outcomes of interest were

defined as follows: PTB ($<37^{+0}$ weeks gestation) [21]; very PTB (VPTB, $<32^{+0}$ weeks gestation) [21]; spontaneous PTB (sPTB, spontaneous birth <37⁺⁰ weeks gestation) [21]; LBW (<2500 g) [4]; very LBW (VLBW, <1500 g) [4]; SGA (birthweight for gestational age less than the tenth centile) [22]; very SGA (VSGA, birthweight for gestational age less than the third centile) [22], stillbirth (delivery of infant without signs of life with birthweight at least 1000 g or gestational age at least 24^{+0} weeks or body length at least 35 cm [23]; and neonatal death (NND, death of infant in first 28 days of life) [23]. Term and preterm LBW were defined according to definitions of PTB and LBW. Perinatal outcome data were not included if outcomes were not defined or if defined differently from our definitions. The study that contained the most recent and complete data was included if a cohort was reported on more than once. If multiple studies reported different perinatal outcomes for the same cohort, each study was included. References of studies meeting the inclusion criteria were assessed for additional studies. Ambiguities regarding inclusion of studies were resolved through discussion with the senior investigator (J.H.).

Data extraction

Data on study characteristics, HIV/ART exposures and perinatal outcomes were extracted from eligible studies by at least two investigators (C.P., H.S., M.K., Z.B., and B.J.) and reviewed by the senior investigator (J.H.). Perinatal outcome data according to exposure categories were extracted. Methods to adjust for confounders, including regression analysis, risk factor analysis, and matching, were extracted. Reported unadjusted and adjusted relative risks (RR), odds ratios (OR), and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of perinatal outcomes according to HIV/ART exposure were also extracted.

Quality assessment

An adapted Newcastle–Ottawa Scale [24] was used to assess the quality of each individual study by at least two investigators (C.P., H.S., M.K., Z.B., and B.J.) and reviewed by the senior investigator (J.H.). Nine criteria were assessed in three groups: selection of study participants, comparability of comparator groups, and assessment of outcomes of interest. Studies were defined as 'good', 'average', or 'poor' quality according to predefined criteria (Appendix, pp. 15–17, http://links. lww.com/QAD/C513).

Statistical analysis

Perinatal outcomes were compared between WLHIV receiving either HAART or monotherapy, and ART-naive WLHIV or HIV-negative women. RRs and 95% CIs were generated from dichotomous outcome data according to HIV/ART exposure in individual studies. If two or more studies reported data for the same perinatal outcome for specified exposure and comparator groups, a pairwise meta-analysis was carried out. For all meta-analyses, a random-effects model was used to calculate a

weighted summary effect estimate (RR) and 95% CI. Meta-analyses were represented in forest plots and the I^2 statistic was used to quantify heterogeneity because of clinical and methodological variability between studies. The degree of heterogeneity was classified as none (<25%), low (25–49%), moderate (50–74%), or high (\geq 75%). Subgroup analyses assessed the effects of country income status and sensitivity analyses investigated whether study quality and the adjustment for confounders impacted the associations between HIV/ART exposure and perinatal outcomes. Peters' test was used to assess publication bias in meta-analyses containing at least 10 studies. All statistical analyses were done with Stata version 15 (College Station, Texas, USA). The systematic review is reported according to the PRISMA guidelines [25].

Role of funding sources

This study received no funding. The corresponding author had full access to all the data in the study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

Results

The literature search yielded 94 594 citations, of which 61 studies reported relevant data (Fig. 1). The numbers of studies reporting different perinatal outcomes for the comparisons of WLHIV receiving HAART or ZDV monotherapy with ART-naive WLHIV and HIV-negative women are displayed in Fig. 1.

Characteristics of included studies are summarised in Table 1 [13,18,19,26-82]. Twenty-six (43%) prospective and 35 (57%) retrospective cohort studies analysed data from 409781 women in 27 countries (Table 1). Thirty-one (51%) studies with 59 890 (15%) women took place in highincome countries (HICs), and 30 (49%) studies with 349891 (85%) women took place in low-income and middle-income countries (LMICs) (Table 1). Forty-two (69%) studies reported the methods used to determine gestational age, with four (7%) studies using first trimester ultrasound (Table 1). Forty-eight (79%) studies used methods to assess potential confounding factors, including regression analysis, risk factor analysis, and matching (Table 1, Appendix, pp. 25-29, http://links.lww.com/QAD/ C513). Of the 22 analyses, which were adjusted for covariates by regression analysis in individual studies, only two resulted in a change in the significance of the effect estimate (Appendix, pp. 59-62, http://links.lww.com/ QAD/C513). Quality assessments classified 30 (49%) studies as poor quality, 30 (49%) as average quality and one (2%) as good quality (Table 1, Appendix, pp. 18–24, http:// links.lww.com/QAD/C513).

The ART regimens received by WLHIV, exposure comparisons reported, and perinatal outcomes analysed are displayed for each study in Table 2.





Downloaded from http://journals.lww.com/aidsonline by BhDMf5ePHKav1zEoum1tQfN4a+kJLhEZgbsIHo4XMi0hCy

wCX1AWnYQp/IIQrHD3i3D0OdRyi7TvSFI4Cf3VC1y0abggQZXdtwnfKZBYtws= on 10/04/2023

Table 1. Chará	acteristics of st	udies included in	the systematic rev	view and meta-analys	is.				
Study: first author (year) [ref]	Country	Country income status	Cohort study design	Recruitment period	Number of women analysed	Population characteristics ^a	Method to correct for confounders	Method to estimate gestational age	Quality assessment
Ai-Jie (2013) [26]	China	Middle	Retrospective	1/2006 to 3/2008	155	Twins excluded, rural and urban	None	No description	Poor
Albert (2020) [27]	Canada	High	Retrospective	1/1/1997 to 31/1/ 2018	477	setting Twins excluded, women recruited from a provincial surveillance database, 46.1% smoking,	Risk factor analysis	Ultrasound in first and/or second trimester	Average
Azria (2009) [28]	France	High	Retrospective	1/2003 to 6/2007	300	23.3% alcohol use, 26% IJU Twins excluded, women recruited from a level III maternity unit, urban setting, hospital deliveries, 4.3% smoking during pregnancy, 1.7% history	Risk factor analysis, matching	First day of LNMP, corrected if needed by routine first trimester ultrasound	Average
Bailey (2013) 1201	Ukraine	Middle	Retrospective	2008 to 2010	3535	or IDO First born twin included, hospital delivariae 14-7% history of IDU	None	LNMP and ultrasound	Poor
ارد کا Balogun (2018) [30]	Canada	High	Prospective	9/2010 to 12/2015	104	Twins excluded, women recruited from four sites in Toronto, 0%	Risk factor analysis, matching	unspectined) LNMP confirmed by ultrasound (unspecified)	Average
Bengtson (2020) [31]	South Africa	Middle	Prospective	3/2013 to 8/2015	1116	smoking Twins excluded, women recruited from antenatal care clinics in Gugulethu Cape Town, urban	None	Ultrasound (unspecified), LNMP, or symphysis-fundal height	Poor
Boer (2006) [32]	Netherlands	High	Retrospective	12/1997 to 7/2003	294	setting, 17.2% alcohol use First born twin included, women recruited from an academic medical centre, 12.9%	Regression analysis, matching	LMNP confirmed by first trimester ultrasound	Poor
Boyajian (2012) [33]	Canada	High	Retrospective	1/1/2003 to 10/1/ 2010	364	smoking, 1.7% history of IDU Second twin excluded, women recruited from tertiary pregnancy referral centre, hostiral deliverias 6.3%	Regression analysis, risk factor analysis, matching	No description	Average
Carceller (2009) [34]	Canada	High	Retrospective	1997 to 2005	412	smokers, 1.4% IDU Recruited from a tertiary hospital in Montreal, urban setting,	None	No description	Poor
Chagomerana	Malawi	Low	Retrospective	1/4/2012 to 15/11/ 2015	3074	hospital deliveries Twins excluded, urban setting, hosnital deliveries	Regression analysis	LNMP	Average
Chen (2012) [36]	Botswana	Middle	Retrospective	2015 1/5/2009 to 30/4/ 2011	33148	First born twin included, hospital deliveries, 5.3% alcohol use,	Regression analysis, risk factor analysis	LNMP, symphysis-fundal height, or ultrasound	Average
Chibwesha (2016) [37]	Zambia	Low	Retrospective	1/2/2006 to 31/12/ 2012	200557	 7% smoking First born twin included, women recruited from MNCH health system, urban setting 	None	unspectneed LNMP and symphysis-fundal height	Poor

loaded from http://journals.lww.com/aidsonline by BhDMf5ePHKav1zEoum1tQfN4a+kJLhEZgbsIHo4XMi0hC wCX1AWnYQp/IIQrHD3i3D00dRyi7TvSFI4Cf3VC1y0abggQZXdtwnfKZBYtws= on 10/04/2023

Study: first author (year) [ref]	Country	Country income status	Cohort study design	Recruitment period	Number of women analysed	Population characteristics ^a	Method to correct for confounders	Method to estimate gestational age	Quality assessment
Cooper (2002) [38]	USA	High	Prospective	1/1990 to 6/2000	1542	Twins excluded, 31% IDU	Risk factor analysis	LNMP, ultrasound (unspecified), symphysis- fundal height, or neonatal assessment (unspecified)	Poor
Cotter (2006) [39]	USA	High	Prospective	1/1990 to 12/2002	1337	Twins excluded, 5.4% alcohol use, 11.2% smoking, 17.8% IDU, women recruited from medical centre. hosoital deliveries	Regression analysis, risk factor analysis	LNMP and/or ultrasound (unspecified)	Poor
Dadabhai (2019) [40]	Malawi	Low	Prospective	1/2016 to 9/2017	1299	Twins excluded, 96% of deliveries occurred in healthcarefacilities, urban setting	Regression analysis	Ballard score and LNMP	Average
De Souza (2000) [41]	USA	High	Retrospective	1/1/1990 to 31/12/ 1994	403	First born twin included, women recruited from a tertiary hospital, 18.9% IDU	Risk factor analysis	No description	Average
Garcia-Otero (2019) [42]	Spain	High	Prospective	12/2014 to 3/2017	94	Women recruited from hospital and hospital clinic, urban setting, 20.2% smoking, 3.2% IDU	Risk factor analysis	No description	Average
Goetghebuer (2019) [43]	Belgium	High	Prospective	12/2010 to 11/2013	255	Women recruited from hospital antenatal clinic, urban setting 9.2% smoking, 10.1% alcohol use	Risk factor analysis	Ballard score	Average
Haeri (2009) [44]	USA	High	Retrospective	1/2000 to 1/2007	453	Women recruited from two tertiary care centres, 13.3% smoking	Regression analysis, risk factor analysis, matching	LNMP and ultrasound (unspecified)	Average
Hernandez (2017) [45]	Spain	High	Prospective	6/2006 to 12/2007	5	Twins excluded, women recruited from maternofetal medicine department of hospital, urban setting, 25% smoking, 0% alcohol use, 0% IDU	Risk factor analysis, matching	No description	Average
Hu (2019) [46]	China	Middle	Prospective	10/2009 to 5/2018	802	Twins included, urban setting	Regression analysis, risk factor analysis	First or second trimester ultrasound, in the absence of ultrasound LNMP used	Average
Joseph (2011) [47]	Nigeria	Middle	Retrospective	1/2008 to 6/2009	249	Twins excluded, women recruited from a tertiary referral centre, hospital deliveries	Risk factor analysis	No description	Average
Jumare (2019) [48]	Nigeria	Middle	Prospective	2013 to 2017	424	Twins included, women recruited from a specialist hospital, urban setting	Risk factor analysis	LNMP	Average
Kakkar (2015) [49]	Canada	High	Prospective	1988 to 2011	589	Twins excluded, women recruited from a tertiary referral centre and the largest maternal-health centre in the province	Regression analysis, risk factor analysis	LNMP and ultrasound (unspecified)	Average

1414 AIDS 2022, Vol 36 No 10

Copyright $\ensuremath{\textcircled{O}}$ 2022 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

Table 1 (continued)

	inca i								
Study: first author		Country income	Cohort study	Recruitment	Number of women	Population	Method to correct for	Method to estimate	Ouality
(year) [ref]	Country	status	design	period	analysed	characteristics ^a	confounders	gestational age	assessment
Kowalska (2003) [50]	Poland	Middle	Prospective	1/1995 to 2/2003	102	Twins included, women recruited from an outpatient HIV clinic, 47.1% IDU	Risk factor analysis	LNMP	Poor
Li (2016) [13]	Tanzania	Low	Prospective	11/2004 to 9/2011	3314	Women recruited from hospitals, health centres and dispensaries, inhan setting	Risk factor analysis	LNMP and symphysis-fundal height	Poor
Li (2020) [51]	China	Middle	Prospective	10/2014 to 9/2017	1449	Twins excluded, women recruited from michaited	Regression analysis, rick factor analysis	LNMP or ultrasound	Average
Liff (2020) [52]	Botswana	Middle	Prospective	4/2016 to 4/2017	179	Twins excluded, women recruited from 8 nationwide delivers sites	Risk factor analysis	Second trimester ultrasound	Poor
Lopez (2012) [53]	Spain	High	Retrospective	1/1986 to 6/2010	1557	Twins excluded, women recruited from a tertiary hospital, urban setting, hospital deliveries,	Regression analysis, risk factor analysis, matching	Second trimester ultrasound	Poor
Malaba (201 <i>7</i>) [54]	South Africa	Middle	Prospective	4/2013 to 8/2015	1793	Twins excluded, recruited from large community primary care facility, urban estima	Regression analysis, risk factor analysis	LNMP and symphysis-fundal height	Average
Malaba (2018) [55]	South Africa	Middle	Prospective	4/2014 to 10/2016	1787	Twins excluded, women recruited from a large primary care antenatal clinic urban setting	Regression analysis	LNMP and symphysis-fundal height	Average
Mandelbrot (1998) [56]	France	High	Retrospective	1/9/1985 to 31/12/ 1996	2834	Twins excluded, 31% IDU, recruited from obstetrical services. hosnital deliveries	None	LNMP, confirmed by first trimester ultrasound	Poor
Marazzi (2011) [57]	Malawi and Mozambique	Low	Retrospective	7/2005 to 6/2009	3273	Twins included, women recruited from DREAM centres	Regression analysis	LNMP and clinical exam (unspecified)	Average
Marti (2007) [58]	Spain	High	Prospective	1/1/1997 to 31/12/ 2003	167	Twins excluded, women recruited from hospital, hospital deliveries, urban setting, 1%	None	No description	Poor
Matheson (1995) rsol	USA	High	Prospective	3/1986 to 12/1993	321	Twins excluded, 41.7% IDU	Risk factor analysis	Ballard score	Average
Mehta (2019) [60]	South Africa	Middle	Retrospective	7/10/2013 to 6/10/ 2014	10293	Twins included, women recruited from hospital, urban setting, hospital deliveries, 0.09% smoking, 0.2% alcohol use, 0.04%, DDU	Risk factor analysis	LNMP, ultrasound (unspecified)	Average
Moodley (2016) [61]	South Africa	Middle	Retrospective	7/2011 to 12/2011, 1/ 2014 to 6/2014	9847	Twins excluded, data abstracted from maternity registers of a regional hospital	Regression analysis, risk factor analysis	LNMP and/or ultrasound (unspecified)	Average

Table 1 (continued)

Study: first author (year) [ref]	Country	Country income status	Cohort study design	Recruitment period	Number of women analysed	Population characteristics ^a	Method to correct for confounders	Method to estimate gestational age	Quality assessment
Moseholm (2019) [62]	Denmark	High	Retrospective	1/1/2000 to 31/12/ 2016	2980	Twins excluded, women recruited from specialized clinical centres for treatment and care of pregnant women living with HIV, 7.6% smoking during	Risk factor analysis, matching	No description	Average
Olagbuji (2010) [63]	Nigeria	Middle	Prospective	1/2007 to 12/2008	406	pregnancy Twins excluded, women recruited from a tertiary referral centre, all	Risk factor analysis	No description	Poor
Phiri (2015) [64]	USA	High	Retrospective	1/1/1994 to 31/12/ 2009	062	uenvered in a nearingate facinity 6.7% alcohol use, 25% smoking, 11% IDU	Regression analysis	LNMP, ultrasound (unspecified), and clinical	Poor
Ramokolo (2017) resi	South Africa	Middle	Retrospective	10/2012 to 5/2013	8778	Women recruited from primary	Risk factor analysis	dssessment LNMP	Average
Rempis (2017)	Uganda	Low	Retrospective	2/2013 to 12/2013	412	Twins excluded, all deliveries in a	Risk factor analysis	No description	Poor
Looj Rudin (2011) [67]	Switzerland	High	Prospective	1984 to 2007	1040	private terenar nospitat Twins excluded, 22% smoking, 26% IDU	None	No description	Poor
Santosa (2019) [68]	South Africa	Middle	Prospective	28/5/2013 to 20/7/ 2016	633	Twins excluded, women recruited from hospital, 98.7% hospital deliveries, urban setting, 6.4%	Regression analysis, risk factor analysis	Ultrasound <14 weeks	Good
Saums (2019) [69]	USA	High	Retrospective	2011 to 2018	3729	Women recruited from hospital, Women recruited from hospital, urban setting, hospital deliveries, 11.5% smoking, 2 06. alrohol use 13.4%, IDU	Risk factor analysis	No description	Average
Schulte (2007) [70]	USA	High	Retrospective	1989 to 2004	11231	27.6% history of IDU	Regression analysis	LNMP, ultrasound (unspecified), neonatal	Poor
Sebitloane (2017) [71]	South Africa	Middle	Retrospective	1/4/2011 to 30/4/ 2014	1461	Twins excluded, women recruited at a regional hospital, urban cotting hospital deliveriae	None	assessment (unspectmen) No description	Poor
Short (2014) [72]	United Kingdom	High	Retrospective	1996 to 2010	331	Twins included, women recruited from a HIV antenatal clinic, urban setting, deliveries in a tertiary bisorial 13%, emotion	None	No description	Poor
Silverman (2010)	Zambia	Low	Retrospective	Unspecified	1238	Twins included	Risk factor analysis	No description	Poor
Simonds (1998)	USA	High	Retrospective	1985 to 12/1995	1366	Twins excluded, 18.4% IDU	None	Ballard score	Poor
Snijdewind (2018) [75]	Netherlands	High	Retrospective	1/1997 to 2/2015	10795	Twins excluded, women recruited from 26 nationwide sites, 10.8% smoking, 11.7% alcohol use 0.6%, DDI	Risk factor analysis	Early ultrasound or LNMP	Average
Tiam (2019) [76]	Lesotho	Middle	Prospective	6/2014 to 2/2016	1594	Women recruited from 14 mixed setting study centres across 3 districts, 91.6% delivered in a health facility	None	LNMP	Poor

Copyright $\ensuremath{\textcircled{O}}$ 2022 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

Table 1 (continued)

Study: first author (year) [ref]	Country	Country income status	Cohort study design	Recruitment period	women analysed	Population characteristics ^a	confounders	Method to estimate gestational age	Quality assessment
Townsend ECS (2010) [18]	Belgium, Denmark, Germany, Italy, Netherlands, Poland, Spain, Sweden, United	High	Prospective	1990 to 2006	4253	Twins excluded, 35.4% IDU	Regression analysis	LNMP and/or ultrasound (unspecified)	Poor
Townsend NSHPC (2010) [18]	United Kingdom, Ireland	High	Prospective	1990 to 2006	6426	Women recruited from 205 hospitals across UK and Ireland, 4.4%, IDU	Regression analysis	No description	Poor
Tuomala (2002) [19]	USA	High	Retrospective	1/1/1990 to 1998	3266	Twins excluded, women recruited from PACTS and WITS studies, and three single site studies, 39.9% tobacco use during pregnancy, 26.9% IDU during pregnancy, 29.7% IDU	Regression analysis, risk factor analysis	LNMP and/or ultrasound (unspecified), or neonatal assessment (unspecified)	Average
Van der Merwe (2011) [77]	South Africa	Middle	Retrospective	10/2004 to 3/2007	1630	Twins excluded, women recruited from HIV referral centres including a tertiary hospital, 3.7% smoking, 3.9% alcohol	Regression analysis, risk factor analysis	LNMP, ultrasound (unspecified), symphysis- fundal height, neonatal assessment (unspecified)	Poor
Von Linstow (2010) [78]	Denmark	High	Retrospective	1/6/1994 to 30/6/ 2008	255	Twins included, women recruited from six centres nationwide, all hospital deliveries, 15.4%	None	Late ultrasound at 18–20 weeks	Poor
Watts (2013) [79]	USA and Puerto Rico	High	Retrospective	2007 to 31/10/2010	1869	sirioking, 2.2.7% iDO Twins excluded, 17% smoking, 8% alcohol use, 8% IDU	Regression analysis	Clinical method (unspecified) and ultrasound	Average
Yu (2012) [80] Zash (2018) [81]	China Botswana	Middle Middle	Retrospective Retrospective	6/2006 to 7/2010 15/8/2014 to 15/8/ 2016	194 57005	Twins excluded, 8.8% IDU Twins excluded, women recruited from eight government hospitals, hospital deliveries, 8.3% alcohol or smoking in	Risk factor analysis Regression analysis	(unspectited) No description LNMP and/or ultrasound (unspecified), or symphysis-fundal height	Poor Average
Ziske (2013) [82]	Tanzania	Low	Prospective	9/2008 to 9/2009	144	pregnancy Twins excluded, women recruited from antenatal care (HIV+ receiving ART) or maternity ward (HIV+ no ART), rural setting, hospital deliveries	Risk factor analysis	No description	Poor

Random-effects meta-analyses were conducted to compare perinatal outcomes in WLHIV receiving HAART or ZDV monotherapy with ART-naive WLHIV and HIVnegative women (Table 3a, Appendix, pp. 30–45, http:// links.lww.com/QAD/C513). Subgroup analyses were carried out according to country income status (Table 3b) and study quality (Appendix, pp. 46–48, http://links. lww.com/QAD/C513).

In the analysis of 19 studies including 24 222 women, WLHIV receiving monotherapy were associated with a significantly decreased risk of PTB compared with ARTnaive WLHIV (RR 0.70, 95% CI 0.62–0.79) (Table 3a, Appendix, p. 30, http://links.lww.com/QAD/C513). Heterogeneity was moderate (I^2 66.4%) (Appendix, p. 30, http://links.lww.com/QAD/C513), and significance was retained in subgroup analyses for studies conducted in HICs (0.77, 0.70–0.84) and LMICs (0.65, 0.45–0.92) (Table 3b). There was no significant risk of PTB associated with WLHIV receiving monotherapy compared with HIV-negative women.

Twenty studies including 33 837 women showed no significant association with risk of PTB in WLHIV receiving HAART compared with ART-naive WLHIV (0.85, 0.66–1.10) (Table 3a, Appendix, p. 36, http://links.lww.com/QAD/C513). In the analysis containing 25 studies and 138 223 women, WLHIV receiving HAART were associated with increased risk of PTB compared with HIV-negative women (1.55, 1.38–1.74). Heterogeneity was high (I^2 87.4%) (Appendix, p. 36, http://links.lww.com/QAD/C513) but there was no evidence of publication bias (Peters' test, P = 0.156). The significance of this association was preserved in subgroup analyses of studies conducted in HICs (1.92, 1.51–2.43) and in LMICs (1.33, 1.21–1.45) (Table 3b).

There was no significant association with VPTB and WLHIV receiving monotherapy compared with ARTnaive WLHIV (Table 3a, Appendix, p. 31, http://links. lww.com/QAD/C513). Additionally, no significant association was found between VPTB and WLHIV receiving HAART compared with ART-naive WLHIV (Table 3a, Appendix, p. 36, http://links.lww.com/QAD/ C513), or WLHIV receiving HAART compared with HIV-negative women (Table 3a, Appendix, p. 41, http:// links.lww.com/QAD/C513).

No studies containing WLHIV receiving monotherapy reported outcome data on sPTB. WLHIV receiving HAART were found to be associated with a significantly decreased risk of sPTB compared with ART-naive WLHIV (0.46, 0.32–0.67) (Table 3a, Appendix, p. 37, http://links.lww.com/QAD/C513), whereas WLHIV receiving HAART were associated with a significantly increased risk of sPTB compared with HIV-negative women (2.10, 1.48–2.96) (Table 3a, Appendix, p. 41, http://links.lww.com/QAD/C513).

In the analysis of 12 studies containing 40 495 women, WLHIV receiving monotherapy were at significantly decreased risk of LBW (0.77, 0.67–0.88) compared with ART-naive WLHIV (Table 3a, Appendix, p. 31, http://links.lww.com/QAD/C513). Heterogeneity was moderate (I^2 68.1%) (Appendix, p. 31, http://links.lww.com/QAD/C513), and the association was retained in subgroup analyses for studies conducted in HICs (0.85, 0.78–0.93) and LMICs (0.73, 0.59–0.91) (Table 3b). No significant association with LBW was found in the comparison between WLHIV receiving monotherapy and HIV-negative women (Table 3a, Appendix, p. 34, http://links.lww.com/QAD/C513).

No significant association with LBW was found in the analysis of WLHIV receiving HAART compared with ART-naive WLHIV (Table 3a, Appendix. P. 37, http://links.lww.com/QAD/C513). However, there was a significantly increased risk of LBW associated with WLHIV receiving HAART compared with HIV-negative women in an analysis containing 15 studies and 207 857 women (1.79, 1.51–2.13) (Table 3a, Appendix, p. 42, http://links.lww.com/QAD/C513). There was a high level of heterogeneity (I^2 90.6%) (Appendix, p. 42, http://links.lww.com/QAD/C513) but there was no evidence of publication bias (Peters' test, P=0.433). This significant association was retained in subgroup analyses for studies conducted in HICs (2.49, 1.56–3.98) and LMICs (1.56, 1.35–1.80) (Table 3b).

No significant association with VLBW was found for WLHIV receiving monotherapy compared with ARTnaive WLHIV (Table 3a, Appendix, p. 32, http://links. lww.com/QAD/C513). One study, containing 1228 women, found a significantly decreased risk of VLBW associated with WLHIV receiving HAART compared with ART-naive WLHIV (0.36, 0.16–0.78) (Table 3a, Appendix, p. 38, http://links.lww.com/QAD/C513). There was no association with VLBW in WLHIV receiving HAART compared with HIV-negative women (Table 3a, Appendix. p. 42, http://links.lww.com/QAD/C513).

The analysis of three studies, containing 2161 women, showed that WLHIV receiving HAART were associated with a significantly increased risk of term LBW compared with HIV-negative women (1.88, 1.23–2.85) (Table 3a, Appendix, p. 43, http://links.lww.com/QAD/C513). This significance was retained in subgroup analyses of studies conducted in HICs, but not LMICs (Table 3b).

One study, including 1299 women, reported no significant association with preterm LBW in WLHIV receiving HAART compared with HIV-negative women (Table 3a, Appendix, p. 43, http://links.lww.com/QAD/C513).

In the analysis of four studies including 4681 women, WLHIV receiving monotherapy were not associated with SGA compared with ART-naive WLHIV (Table 3a,

Table 2. Antiretroviral therapies, HIV/antiretroviral therapy comparisons, and perinatal outcomes reported by studies included in the systematic review and meta-analysis.

Study: first author (year) [ref]		WLHIV with monotherapy vs.	WLHIV with monotherapy vs. HIV-negative	WLHIV with HAART vs. ART-naive	WLHIV with HAART vs. HIV-negative	Perinatal outcomes
	ART regimens	ART-naive WLHIV	women	WLHIV	women	
Ai-Jie (2013) [26]	77.4% ZDV monotherapy, 22.6% NNRTI-based HAART (ZDV/ 3TC NVP)	Yes	No	Yes	No	LBW
Albert (2020) [27]	4.5% mono/dual/triple NRTI therapy, 17.7% NNRTI-based HAART, 73.7% PI-based HAART, 4.1% INSTI-based HAART	No	No	Yes	No	sPTB
Azria (2009) [28]	PI-based HAART (LPV/r)	No	No	No	Yes	PTB, VPTB, SGA, VSGA, NND
Bailey (2013) [29]	91.3% ZDV monotherapy, 1.2% dual therapy, 7.5% HAART (91% PI-based HAART)	Yes	No	Yes	No	PTB
Balogun (2018) [30]	PI-based HAART (50.7% LPV/r, 31.8% ATV/r, 4.8% DRV/r)	No	No	No	Yes	sPTB, SGA
Bengtson (2020) [31]	NNRTI-based HAART (TDF- FTC/3TC-FFV)	No	No	No	Yes	PTB, SGA, VSGA
Boer (2006) [32]	PI-/NNRTI-based HAART	No	No	No	Yes	PTB, LBW, VLBW
Boyajian (2012) [33]	75% PI-based HAART, 25%	No	No	No	Yes	PTB, LBW, SGA
Carceller (2009) [34]	85.4% PI-based HAART, 14.6%	No	No	No	Yes	PTB, Term LBW
Chagomerana (2017)	NNRTI-based HAART (TDF-	No	No	Yes	No	PTB, VPTB
[53] Chen (2012) [36]	58.4% ZDV monotherapy, 2.9% PI-based HAART (LPV/ r-ZDV-3TC), 33.5% NNRTI- based HAART (NVP-ZDV- 3TC), 5.2% unspecified HAAPT	No	Yes	No	Yes	PTB, SGA
Chibwesha (2016) [37]	66.6% ZDV monotherapy, 33.4% HAART [unspecified drug class(es)]	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	LBW
Cooper (2002) [38]	62% ZDV monotherapy, 16.2% dual therapy (96.8% two NRTIs, 2.2% NRTI-NNRTI, 0.5% two NNRTIs), 21.8% HAART (NNRTI-based, PI- based, or NNRTI-PI-based)	Yes	No	Yes	No	PTB, LBW
Cotter (2006) [39]	49.3% ZDV monotherapy, 37.3% non-PI-based HAART, 13.4% PI-based HAART	Yes	No	No	No	LBW, VLBW
Dadabhai (2019) [40]	NNRTI-based HAART (TDF- 3TC-EFV)	No	No	No	Yes	PTB, LBW, Term LBW, Preterm LBW, SGA, VSGA
De Souza (2000) [41]	ZDV monotherapy	Yes	No	No	No	PTB
Garcia-Otero (2019) [42]	HAART (29.8% NNRTI- containing, 66% PI- containing, 14.9% INSTI- containing)	No	No	No	Yes	PTB, SGA, NND
Goetghebuer (2019) [43]	77.3% PI-based HAART, 12.9% NNRTI-based HAART, 5.3% NRTI-based HAART, 4.5% other regimen	No	No	No	Yes	PTB, LBW
Haeri (2009) [44]	HAART (94% NRTI-containing, 20% NNRTI-containing, 74% Pl-containing)	No	No	No	Yes	PTB, sPTB, Term LBW, SGA
Hernandez (2017) [45]	4.2% ZDV monotherapy, 33.3% NNRTI-based HAART, 58.3% PI-based HAART, 4.2% NRTI-based HAART	No	No	No	Yes	SGA
Hu (2019) [46]	20.1% ZDV monotherapy/ZDV- 3TC dual therapy, 79.9% HAART (NNRTI-based/PI- based)	No	No	Yes	No	PTB, SGA
Joseph (2011) [47]	NNRTI-based HAART (NVP)	No	No	Yes	No	LBW

Table 2 (continued)

Study: first author (year) [ref]		WLHIV with monotherapy vs.	WLHIV with monotherapy vs. HIV-negative	WLHIV with HAART vs. ART-naive	WLHIV with HAART vs. HIV-negative	Perinatal outcomes
	ART regimens	ART-naive WLHIV	women	WLHIV	women	
Jumare (2019) [48]	HAART [drug class(es)	No	No	No	Yes	LBW
Kakkar (2015) [49]	16.8% ZDV monotherapy, 14.5% NRTI-/NNRTI- containing dual therapy/ HAART, 68.7% PI-based	Yes	No	Yes	No	РТВ
Kowalska (2003) [50]	HAART 43.2% ZDV monotherapy, 22.2% PI-based HAART, 24.6% pop PI based HAART,	Yes	No	Yes	No	PTB, LBW
Li (2016) [13]	61.8% ZDV monotherapy, 35.5% NNRTI-based HAART, 0.6% PI-based HAART, 2.1% unspecified HAART	Yes	No	Yes	No	PTB, LBW, SGA, VSGA
Li (2020) [51]	24.2% mono/dual therapy, 75.8% HAART (drug class(es) unspecified)	No	No	Yes	Yes	PTB, LBW, SGA
Liff (2020) [52]	78% NNRTI-based HAART, 12% INSTI-based HAART, 10% other HAART	No	No	No	Yes	РТВ
Lopez (2012) [53]	HAART (98.7% NRTI- containing, 51.3% NNRTI- containing, 59.7% PI- containing)	No	No	No	Yes	PTB, sPTB
Malaba (2017) [54]	71.6% NNRTI-based HAART, 2.3% PI-based HAART, 26.1% other HAART	No	No	No	Yes	PTB, VPTB, LBW, VLBW, SGA
Malaba (2018) [55]	92.5% NNRTI-based HAART, 2.8% PI-based HAART, 4.7% other HAART	No	No	No	Yes	PTB, SGA
Mandelbrot (1998) [56]	ZDV monotherapy	Yes	No	No	No	РТВ
Marazzi (2011) [57]	NRTI-/NNRTI-based HAART	No	No	Yes	No	РТВ
Marti (2007) [58]	15.1% ZDV monotherapy, 13.8% NRTI dual therapy, 7.9% NNRTI-based HAART, 61.8% PI-based HAART, 1.4% NRTI-based HAART	Yes	No	Yes	No	PTB, LBW
Matheson (1995) [59]	ZDV monotherapy	Yes	No	No	No	PTB
Mehta (2019) [60]	98% NNRTI-based HAART, 0.9% PI-based HAART, 1.1% unspecified HAART	No	No	No	Yes	PTB, LBW, SGA, NND
Moodley (2016) [61]	27.5% ZDV monotherapy, 72.5% NNRTI-based HAART	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	PTB, LBW, SGA
Moseholm (2019) [62]	13.6% NNRTI-based HAART, 78.4% PI-based HAART, 5.7% NRTI-based HAART, 2.3% unspecified HAART	No	No	No	Yes	РТВ
Olagbuji (2010) [63]	NNRTI-based HAART (ZDV/ 3TC/NVP)	No	No	No	Yes	LBW
Phiri (2015) [64]	20% ZDV monotherapy, 15.3% NRTI-NNRTI dual therapy, 21.3% NRTI dual therapy/ HAART, 43.4% PI-based therapy (unspecified regimen	Yes	No	No	No	PTB, SGA
Ramokolo (2017) [65]	complexity) 38.5% ZDV monotherapy, 61.5% NNRTI-based HAART (TDE 3TC/ETC NVP)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	PTB, LBW, SGA
Rempis (2017) [66]	NNRTI-based HAART (TDF-	No	No	No	Yes	SGA
Rudin (2011) [67]	26.4% ZDV mono/dual therapy, 61.8% PI-based HAART, 11.8% pop PI based HAART	No	No	Yes	No	PTB, VPTB
Santosa (2019) [68]	1.6% ZDV monotherapy, 96% HAART, 2.4% unspecified regimen	No	No	No	Yes	PTB, VPTB, LBW, VLBW, SGA, VSGA, Stillbirth, NND
Saums (2019) [69]	10.9% NNRTI-based HAART, 54.7% PI-based HAART, 34.3% INSTI-based HAART	No	No	No	Yes	PTB

Table 2 (continued)

Study: first author (year) [ref]		WLHIV with monotherapy vs.	WLHIV with monotherapy vs. HIV-negative	WLHIV with HAART vs. ART-naive	WLHIV with HAART vs. HIV-negative	Perinatal outcomes
	ART regimens	ARI-naive WLHIV	women	WLHIV	women	
Schulte (2007) [70]	42.1% ZDV monotherapy, 16.7% dual therapy, 12.6% PI-based HAART, 28.6% non-PI-based HAART	Yes	No	Yes	No	PTB, LBW
Sebitloane (2017) [71]	36.6% ZDV monotherapy, 63.4% NNRTI-based HAART	No	Yes	No	Yes	РТВ
Short (2014) [72]	20.1% ZDV monotherapy, 2.2% NRTI dual therapy, 42.4% NNRTI-based HAART, 29.8% PI-based HAART, 1.5% NRTI-based HAART, 4% unspecified HAART	Yes	No	Yes	No	РТВ
Silverman (2010) [73]	PI-based HAART (ZDV-3TC- LPV/r)	No	No	Yes	No	LBW
Simonds (1998) [74]	ZDV monotherapy	Yes	No	No	No	PTB, LBW
Snijdewind (2018) [75]	31.5% NNRTI-based HAART, 66.7% PI-based HAART, 1.8% other HAART	No	No	No	Yes	PTB, VPTB, LBW, VLBW, SGA
Tiam (2019) [76]	96.5% NNRTI-based HAART, 2.3% other HAART, 2.2% no ART	No	No	No	Yes	PTB, LBW, VLBW
Townsend ECS (2010) [18]	27.8% ZDV monotherapy, 11.8% NRTI dual therapy, 36.2% PI-based HAART, 24.2% non-PI-based HAART	Yes	No	Yes	No	РТВ
Townsend NSHPC (2010) [18]	16.3% ZDV montherapy, 3.2% dual therapy, 42% Pl- based HAART, 38.5% non- Pl-based HAART	Yes	No	Yes	No	РТВ
Tuomala (2002) [19]	74.8% ZDV monotherapy, 6.5% PI-based dual/HAART, 18.7% non-PI-based dual/ HAART	Yes	No	No	No	PTB, VPTB, LBW, VLBW
Van der Merwe (2011) [77]	42.8% NNRTI-based HAART, 44.5% PI-based HAART, 12.7% unspecified HAART	No	No	Yes	No	PTB, LBW, VLBW
Von Linstow (2010) [78]	12.1% ZDV monotherapy/dual therapy, 87.9% NNRTI-/PI- based HAART	No	No	Yes	No	LBW
Watts (2013) [79]	7.6% mono/dual therapy, 8.8% NNRTI-based HAART, 72.9% PI-based HAART, 10.7% NRTI-based HAART	No	No	Yes	No	PTB, sPTB
Yu (2012) [80] Zash (2018) [81]	NNRTI-based HAART 72.7% NNRTI-based HAART (TDF-FTC-EFV), 27.3% INSTI-based HAART (TDF- FTC-DTG)	No No	No No	Yes No	No Yes	PTB, LBW PTB, VPTB, SGA, VSGA, NND
Ziske (2013) [82]	ZDV monotherapy	Yes	No	No	No	РТВ

3TC, lamivudine; ART, antiretroviral therapy; ATV/r, ritonavir-boosted atazanavir; cART, combination antiretroviral therapy (≥2 antiretroviral drugs); DRV/r, ritonavir-boosted darunavir; EFV, efavirenz; FTC, emtricitabine; HAART, highly active antiretroviral therapy (at least three antiretroviral drugs); INSTI, integrase strand transfer inhibitor; LBW, low birthweight; LPV/r, ritonavir-boosted lopinavir; NND, neonatal death; NNRTI, nonnucleoside transcriptase inhibitor; NRTI, nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor; NVP, nevirapine; PI, protease inhibitor; PTB, preterm birth; SGA, small for gestational age; sPTB, spontaneous preterm birth; TDF, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate; VLBW, very low birthweight; VPTB, very preterm birth; VSGA, very small for gestational age; WLHIV, women living with HIV; ZDV, zidovudine.

Appendix, p.32, http://links.lww.com/QAD/C513). Three studies, conducted in LMICs, including 40 057 women, found a significantly increased risk of SGA associated with WLHIV receiving monotherapy compared with HIV-negative women (1.16, 1.04–1.30) (Table 3a, Appendix, p. 35, http://links.lww.com/QAD/C513).

In the analysis of five studies with 6818 women conducted in LMICs, WLHIV receiving HAART were associated with a significantly increased risk of SGA compared with ART-naive WLHIV (1.38, 1.09–1.75) (Table 3a, Appendix, p. 38, http://links.lww.com/QAD/C513). In an analysis of 19 studies and 127 032 women, WLHIV receiving HAART were also at significantly increased risk of SGA compared with HIV-negative women (1.80, 1.34–2.40) (Table 3a, Appendix, p. 44,

Fable 3. Perinatal outcomes associated with women living with HIV receiving HAART or monotherapy compared to antiretroviral therapy-n	naive
women living with HIV and HIV-negative women.	

		Perinatal outcomes											
		РТВ	VPTB	sPTB	LBW	VLBW	Term LBW	Preterm LBW	SGA	VSGA	Stillbirth	NND	
		RR (95%CI)	RR (95%CI)	RR (95%CI)	RR (95%CI)	RR (95%CI)	RR (95%CI)	RR (95%CI)	RR (95%CI)	RR (95%CI)	RR (95%CI)	RR (95%CI)	
WLHIV with monotherapy vs ART-naïve WLHIV		0.70 (0.62, 0.79)	0.96 (0.63, 1.47)		0.77 (0.67, 0.88)	0.63 (0.24, 1.64)			1.08 (0.90, 1.29)	1.43 (0.97, 2.10)			
WLHIV with monotherapy vs HIV-negative women		1.04 (0.84, 1.29)			1.08 (0.88, 1.33)				1.16 (1.04, 1.30)				
WLHIV with HAART vs ART-naïve WLHIV		0.85 (0.66, 1.10)	0.89 (0.23, 3.51)	0.46 (0.32, 0.67)	0.92 (0.79, 1.08)	0.36 (0.16, 0.78)			1.38 (1.09, 1.75)	2.35 (1.60, 3.46)			
WLHIV with HAART vs HIV-negative women		1.55 (1.38, 1.74)	1.72 (0.75, 3.96)	2.10 (1.48, 2.96)	1.79 (1.51, 2.13)	1.70 (0.63, 4.57)	1.88 (1.23, 2.85)	1.17 (0.64, 2.14)	1.80 (1.34, 2.40)	1.22 (1.10, 1.34)	0.88 (0.34, 2.32)	1.27 (0.75, 2.15)	
(b) Subgroup	analysis by co	untry income	status										
WLHIV with monotherapy vs ART-naïve WLHIV	High income countries	0.77 (0.70, 0.84)	0.96 (0.63, 1.47)		0.85 (0.78, 0.93)	0.63 (0.24, 1.64)			0.91 (0.55, 1.51)				
	Low- and middle- income countries	0.65 (0.45, 0.92)			0.73 (0.59, 0.91)				1.08 (0.86, 1.36)	1.43 (0.97, 2.10)			
WLHIV with monotherapy vs HIV- negative women	High income countries												
	Low- and middle- income countries	1.04 (0.84, 1.29)			1.08 (0.88, 1.33)				1.16 (1.04, 1.30)				
			1					1	1				
WLHIV with HAART vs ART-naïve WLHIV	High income countries	0.99 (0.79, 1.24)	$ \begin{array}{r} 1.86 \\ (0.78, 4.46) \end{array} $	0.46 (0.32, 0.67)	0.79 (0.72, 0.87)	$0.36 \\ (0.16, 0.78)$							
	Low- and middle- income countries	0.73 (0.48, 1.09)	0.47 (0.29, 0.77)		1.01 (0.78, 1.30)				1.38 (1.09, 1.75)	2.35 (1.60, 3.46)			
WLHIV with HAART vs HIV- negative women	High income countries	1.92 (1.51, 2.43)	2.12 (0.38, 12.04)	2.09 (1.48, 2.96)	2.49 (1.56, 3.98)	1.84 (0.14, 23.58)	1.91 (1.10, 3.29)		3.78 (1.29, 11.08)	1.20 (0.29, 4.92)		0.40 (0.02, 8.21	
	Low- and middle- income	1.33 (1.21, 1.45)	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.11 \\ (0.82, 1.49) \end{array} $		1.56 (1.35, 1.80)	1.38 (0.77, 2.45)	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.83 \\ (0.95, 3.53) \end{array} $	1.17 (0.64, 2.14)	1.41 (1.16, 1.72)	1.22 (1.10, 1.34)	0.88 (0.34, 2.32)	1.34 (0.76, 2.35)	

Relative risk (RR) and 95% confidence interval (95% CI) of random-effects meta-analyses. For forest plots see Appendix, pp. 30–45, http://links.lww. com/QAD/C513. RR greater than 1 indicates that women living with HIV receiving ART are associated with an increased risk of the corresponding perinatal outcome. ART, antiretroviral therapy; LBW, low birthweight; NND, neonatal death; PTB, preterm birth; SGA, small for gestational age; sPTB, spontaneous preterm birth; VLBW, very low birthweight; VPTB, very preterm birth; VSGA, very small for gestational age; WLHIV, women living with HIV.

Copyright © 2022 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

http://links.lww.com/QAD/C513). There was a high level of heterogeneity (I^2 97.6%) (Appendix, p. 44, http://links.lww.com/QAD/C513), but the Peters' test showed no evidence of publication bias (P=0.803). Additionally, the significant association was retained in subgroup analyses of studies conducted in HICs (3.78, 1.29–11.08) and LMICs (1.41,1.16–1.72) (Table 3b).

A single study reported no significant association with VSGA in WLHIV receiving monotherapy compared with ART-naive WLHIV (Table 3a, Appendix, p. 33, http://links.lww.com/QAD/C513). A single study reported an increased risk of VSGA in WLHIV receiving HAART compared with ART-naive WLHIV (2.35, 1.60-3.46) (Table 3a, Appendix, p. 39, http://links.lww. com/QAD/C513). In the analysis of five studies containing 59746 women, WLHIV receiving HAART were found to be associated with a significantly increased risk of VSGA compared with HIV-negative women (1.22,1.10-1.34) (Table 3a, Appendix, p. 44, http:// links.lww.com/QAD/C513). This association was retained in subgroup analysis of four studies with 59 446 women conducted in LMICs (1.22, 1.10-1.34), but not HICs (Table 3b).

Only one study, containing 633 women, reported on stillbirth, finding no significant association in WLHIV receiving HAART compared with HIV-negative women (Table 3a, Appendix, p. 45, http://links.lww.com/QAD/C513).

Five studies, reporting on 67 665 women, were included in the analysis of NND in WLHIV receiving HAART compared with HIV-negative women, finding no significant association (Table 3a, Appendix, p. 45, http://links.lww.com/QAD/C513).

Discussion

This meta-analysis shows that WLHIV receiving ZDV monotherapy are at decreased risk of PTB and LBW, and comparable risk of SGA, compared with ART-naive WLHIV. WLHIV receiving ZDV monotherapy are at comparable risk of PTB and LBW, and an increased risk of SGA compared with HIV-negative women. In contrast, WLHIV receiving HAART are at comparable risk of PTB and LBW, and at increased risk of SGA, compared with ART-naive WLHIV. Importantly, WLHIV receiving HAART are at increased risk of PTB, sPTB, LBW, term LBW, SGA, and VSGA compared with HIVnegative women.

This study has several strengths. It is the largest study to date, assessing a comprehensive range of 11 perinatal outcomes in WLHIV receiving HAART or ZDV monotherapy, including 409 781 women from 61 studies.

The analyses of PTB, LBW and SGA for the comparison between WLHIV receiving HAART and HIV-negative women were each supported by at least 15 studies and at least 127 000 pregnant women, providing strong evidence for the significant associations found. Outcomes and exposures were clearly predefined to minimize misclassification bias and promote consistency across studies. Subgroup and sensitivity analyses supported our main findings. The vast majority of women analysed resided in LMICs, where most WLHIV live, lending external validity to our findings.

This study has some limitations. All studies included are observational, and are therefore associated with a risk of bias. However, adjustment for covariates by regression analysis rarely resulted in a change in the significance of the effect estimate in individual studies. Nevertheless, we cannot exclude residual confounding. RCTs reported an increased risk of adverse perinatal outcomes associated with several HAART regimens compared with ZDV monotherapy [16]. However, few RCTs of ART in pregnancy have been conducted, they enrolled limited numbers of women, and ART was initiated during the second half of pregnancy, thereby limiting exposure to ART and detection of perinatal outcomes [16]. There were few studies in our analysis reporting on VPTB, sPTB, VLBW, term LBW, preterm LBW, VSGA, stillbirth, and NND. Nineteen studies did not report a method to assess gestational age, whereas only four studies used a first trimester ultrasound, the most accurate method to assess gestational age [83]. Certain perinatal outcomes, such as PTB and SGA, may therefore, have been vulnerable to misclassification bias because of inaccurate assessment of gestational age. In addition, differences in populations and settings between studies may have contributed to the heterogeneity observed in our analyses. Although prevalence of risk factors for adverse pregnancy outcomes differed between HICs and LMICs, findings were largely consistent between HICs and LMICs, in particular for the well supported findings for PTB, LBW, and SGA. Finally, patients included in our analyses were recruited over a relatively long time period. On average, WLHIV without ART were from earlier years, WLHIV receiving monotherapy from more recent years, and WLHIV receiving HAART from the most recent years. Temporal changes in risk factors and obstetric care might have created a chronological bias in the outcomes observed for the different treatment groups of WLHIV. Year of delivery was adjusted for in a number of included studies. However, if a temporal trend of improving obstetric care and outcomes exists, it is at odds with the finding that WLHIV receiving HAART have worse perinatal outcomes than WLHIV receiving monotherapy.

We included studies reporting on WLHIV receiving any HAART regimen or ZDV monotherapy to capture the overall effect of ART on adverse perinatal outcomes in

WLHIV as ART use in pregnancy was introduced. However, as WHO guidance changed, indications, timings of initiation, and drug regimens of ART used in pregnant WLHIV changed too [10,84]. Although prevention of vertical HIV transmission was the primary indication initially, this has been replaced by a policy of universal treatment. Preconception initiation of ART has been associated with an increased risk of adverse perinatal outcomes compared with ART initiation during pregnancy, although this is disputed by others [85,86]. The evidence on the association of different HAART regimens with perinatal outcomes is conflicting [12,87]. HAART regimes containing a protease inhibitor are associated with an increased risk of PTB in multiple studies [14-16], but not in others [88]. WHO guidance currently recommends dolutegravir (DTG)-containing HAART as the preferred first-line therapy [84]. A retrospective cohort study reported that perinatal outcomes were comparable between WLHIV receiving DTG-based and efavirenz (EFV)-based HAART [81,89]. However, a recent RCT reported that a regimen initiated during pregnancy containing DTG, emtricitabine (FTC), and tenofovir alafenamide fumarate (TAF) had the lowest rate of adverse pregnancy outcomes, compared with DTG/FTC/tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) and EFV/FTC/TDF [90].

The biological mechanisms contributing to the associations between HIV, ART, and adverse perinatal outcomes remain unclear [91]. Current evidence indicates that perinatal outcomes of ART-naive WLHIV are higher than HIV-negative women [2], perinatal outcomes in WLHIV receiving HAART remain higher than HIVnegative women, and WLHIV receiving ZDV monotherapy have similar outcomes (bar SGA) as HIV-negative women. The estimated effect sizes for PTB (1.55, 1.38-1.74), LBW (1.79, 1.51-2.13), and SGA (1.80, 1.34-2.40) in our comparison of WLHIV receiving HAART with HIV-negative women (Table 3a) are comparable with those reported for ART-naive WLHIV compared with HIV-negative women for PTB (1.63, 1.37 - 1.93), LBW (1.75, 1.52–2.02), and SGA (1.64, 1.29–2.09) [2], confirming the lack of beneficial impact of HAART on these perinatal outcomes. HIV infection is associated with CD4⁺ depletion and chronic immune activation [92]. Several innate immune cells, including innate lymphoid cells and mucosal associated invariant T cells, are depleted during early HIV infection and fail to recover with HAART, and may be associated with increased risk of adverse perinatal outcomes [93,94]. WLHIV receiving HAART were reported to have distinct systemic cytokine profiles throughout pregnancy, compared with HIVnegative women, which may be associated with SGA [95]. Additionally, protease inhibitors included in HAART regimens may inhibit progesterone production by the placenta [96], and reduced progesterone levels were associated with increased risk of SGA [97]. Interestingly, a recent RCT of progesterone supplementation in pregnant WLHIV on ART (mostly NNRTI-ART) showed a reduction in VSGA [98].

Lifelong HAART provides significant benefits over antenatal ZDV monotherapy by reducing maternal morbidity and mortality, reducing horizontal HIV transmission, and protection of future pregnancies. However, it is concerning that WLHIV receiving HAART are associated with increased risks of a wide range of perinatal outcomes, especially as the proportion of pregnant WLHIV receiving HAART increases globally. It is crucial to determine the perinatal outcomes associated with different HAART regimens to determine the optimal HAART regimen(s) to minimize adverse perinatal outcomes. Further studies are urgently needed to elucidate the mechanism underlying adverse perinatal outcomes and develop preventive and therapeutic interventions to improve perinatal outcomes in WLHIV.

Acknowledgements

Systematic review registration number: CRD42021248987.

Author contributions: C.P., H.S., M.K., Z.B., and B.J. screened the literature search results for relevant manuscripts and assessed their eligibility, extracted data and conducted methodological quality assessments. C.M. selected relevant studies and conducted meta-analyses. C. P. selected relevant studies and conducted the metaanalyses, subgroup and sensitivity analyses, interpreted the data and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. S.K. designed and conducted the literature search. J.H. conceived, designed and coordinated the study, developed the systematic review protocol, assisted with the literature search, assessment of eligibility of manuscripts, data extraction, methodological quality assessment, designed the meta-analysis plan, interpreted the data and wrote the manuscript. J.H. had full access to all the data in the study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit the manuscript for publication. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. UNAIDS. Global AIDS update: confronting inequalities, lessons for pandemic responses from 40 years of AIDS. Geneva: UN-AIDS; 2021.
- Wedi COO, Kirtley S, Hopewell S, Corrigan R, Kennedy SH, Hemelaar J. Perinatal outcomes associated with maternal HIV infection: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet HIV* 2016; 3:e33–e48.

- Lee ACC, Kozuki N, Cousens S, Stevens GA, Blencowe H, Silveira MF, et al., CHERG Small-for-Gestational-Age-Preterm Birth Working Group. Estimates of burden and consequences of infants born small for gestational age in low and middle income countries with INTERGROWTH-21 st standard: analysis of CHERG datasets. BMJ 2017; 358:j3677.
- Lee AC, Katz J, Blencowe H, Kozuki N, Vogel JP, Adair L, et al., CHERG SGA-Preterm Birth Working Group. National and regional estimates of term and preterm babies born small for gestational age in 138 low-income and middle-income countries in 2010. Lancet Global Health 2013; 1:e26–e36.
- Chawanpaiboon S, Vogel JP, Moller AB, Lumbiganon P, Petzold M, Molle A-B, et al. Global, regional, and national estimates of levels of preterm birth in 2014: a systematic review and modelling analysis. Lancet Global Health 2019; 7:e37–e46.
- United Nations. Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. Available at: https://sdgs.un.org/ 2030agenda. [Accessed 29 December 2020]
- Connor EM, Sperling RS, Gelber R, Kiselev P, Scott G, O'Sullivan MJ, et al. Reduction of maternal-infant transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 with zidovudine treatment. Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group Protocol 076 Study Group. New Engl J Med 1994; 331:1173–1180.
- 8. World Health Organization. Antiretroviral drugs for treating pregnant women and preventing HIV infection in infant: towards universal access: recommendations for a public health approach. Geneva: Health Organization, 2006.
- WHO. Consolidated guidelines on the use of antiretroviral drugs for treating and preventing HIV infection. Recommendations for a public health approach. Geneva: WHO; 2013.
- 10. WHO. Guideline on when to start antiretroviral therapy and on preexposure prophylaxis for HIV. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2015.
- 11. UNAIDS. Global AIDS Update. Geneva: UNAIDS; 2019.
- Mofenson LM. Antiretroviral therapy and adverse pregnancy outcome: the elephant in the room? J Infect Dis 2016; 213:1051–1054.
- Li N, Sando MM, Spiegelman D, Hertzmark E, Liu E, Sando D, et al. Antiretroviral therapy in relation to birth outcomes among HIV-infected women: a cohort study. J Infect Dis 2016; 213:1057–1064.
- Kourtis AP, Schmid CH, Jamieson DJ, Lau J. Use of antiretroviral therapy in pregnant HIV-infected women and the risk of premature delivery: a meta-analysis. *AIDS* 2007; 21:607–615.
- Powis KM, Kitch D, Ogwu A, Hughes MD, Lockman S, Leidner J, et al. Increased risk of preterm delivery among HIV-infected women randomized to protease versus nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor-based HAART during pregnancy. J Infect Dis 2011; 204:506-514.
- 16. Tshivuila-Matala COO, Honeyman S, Nesbitt C, Kirtley S, Kennedy SH, Hemelaar J. Adverse perinatal outcomes associated with antiretroviral therapy regimens: systematic review and network meta-analysis. *AIDS* 2020; **34**:1643–1656.
- Ejigu Y, Magnus JH, Sundby J, Magnus MC. Pregnancy outcome among HIV-infected women on different antiretroviral therapies in Ethiopia: a cohort study. *BMJ Open* 2019; 9:27344.
- Townsend CL, Schulte J, Thorne C, Dominguez KI, Tookey PA, Cortina-Borja M, et al., Pediatric Spectrum of HIV Disease Consortium, the European Collaborative Study and the National Study of HIV in Pregnancy and Childhood. Antiretroviral therapy and preterm delivery-a pooled analysis of data from the United States and Europe. B/OG 2010; 117:1399–1410.
- Tuomala RE, Shapiro DE, Mofenson LM<, Bryson Y, Culnane M, Hughes MDET-AL>. Antiretroviral therapy during pregnancy and the risk of an adverse outcome. New Engl J Med 2002; 346:1863–1870.
- Higgins J, Altman D, Sterne J. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions. Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell Publishing; 2008, Available at https://training.cochrane.org/ handbook/PDF/v6.1. [Accessed 2 March 2021].
- 21. Blencowe H, Cousens S, Oestergaard MZ, Chou D, Moller AB, Narwal R, et al. National, regional, and worldwide estimates of preterm birth rates in the year 2010 with time trends since 1990 for selected countries: a systematic analysis and implications. *Lancet* 2012; 379:2162–2172.
- 22. Villar J, Ismail LC, Victora CG, Ohuma EO, Bertino E, Altman DG, et al., International Fetal and Newborn Growth Consortium

for the 21st Century (INTERGROWTH-21st). International standards for newborn weight, length, and head circumference by gestational age and sex: the Newborn Cross-Sectional Study of the INTERGROWTH-21st Project. *Lancet* 2014; **384**:857–868.

- Lawn JE, Cousens S, Zupan J. 4 million neonatal deaths: when? Where? Why?. Lancet 2005; 365:891–900.
- Wells G, Shea B, O'Connell D, Robertson J, Peterson J, Welch V, et al. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in meta-analyses. The Ottawa Hospital Research Institute. Available at http://www.ohri. ca/programs/clinical_epidemiology/oxford.asp [Accessed 17 June 2022]
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, PRISMA Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and metaanalyses: the PRISMA statement. *PLoS Med* 2009; 6:e1000097.
- 26. Ai-jie L, Yong-zhong W. Study on low birth weight and correlates of infants born by HIV positive woman. *Chin J Dermatovenereol* 2013; **27**:161–163.
- 27. Albert AYK, Elwood C, Wagner EC, Pakzad Z, Chaworth-Musters T, Berg K, et al. Investigation of factors associated with spontaneous preterm birth in pregnant women living with HIV. *AIDS* 2020; **34**:719–727.
- Azria E, Moutafoff C, Schmitz T, le Meaux JP, Krivine A. Pregnancy outcomes in women with HIV type-1 receiving a lopinavir/ritonavir-containing regimen. *Antivir Ther* 2009; 14:423–432.
- Bailey H, Townsend CL, Semenenko I, Malyuta R, Cortina-Borja M, Thorne C. Impact of expanded access to combination antiretroviral therapy in pregnancy: results from a cohort study in Ukraine. *Bull World Health Organ* 2013; 91:491–500.
 Balogun KA, Lenis MSG, Papp E, Loutfy M, Yudin MH, Mac-
- Balogun KA, Lenis MSG, Papp E, Loutfy M, Yudin MH, Mac-Gillivray J, et al. Elevated levels of estradiol in human immunodeficiency virus-infected pregnant women on protease inhibitor-based regimens. *Clin Infect Dis* 2018; 66:420–427.
- Bengtson AM, Phillips TK, le Roux SM, Brittain K, Zerbe A, Madlala H, et al. Does HIV infection modify the relationship between prepregnancy body mass index and adverse birth outcomes? Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol 2020; 34:713–723.
- Boer K, Nellen J, Patel D, Timmermans S, Tempelman C, Wibaut M, et al. The AmRo study: pregnancy outcome in HIV-1-infected women under effective highly active antiretroviral therapy and a policy of vaginal delivery. BJOG 2007; 114:148–155.
- Boyajian T, Shah PS, Murphy KE. Risk of preeclampsia in HIVpositive pregnant women receiving HAART: a matched cohort study. J Obstet Gynaecol Canada 2012; 34:136–141.
- Carceller A, Ferreira E, Alloul S, Lapointe N. Lack of effect on prematurity, birth weight, and infant growth from exposure to protease inhibitors in utero and after birth. *Pharmacotherapy* 2009; 29:1289–1296.
- Chagomerana MB, Miller WC, Pence BW, Hosseinipour MC, Hoffman IF, Flick RJ, et al. PMTCT option b+ does not increase preterm birth risk and may prevent extreme prematurity: a retrospective cohort study in Malawi. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2017; 74:367–374.
- Chen JY, Ribaudo HJ, Souda S, Parekh N, Ogwu A, Lockman S, et al. Highly active antiretroviral therapy and adverse birth outcomes among HIV-infected women in Botswana. J Infect Dis 2012; 206:1695–1705.
- Chibwesha CJ, Zanolini A, Smid M, Vwalika B, Phiri Kasaro M, Mwanahamuntu M, et al. Predictors and outcomes of low birth weight in Lusaka, Zambia. Int J Gynecol Obstet 2016; 134:309– 314.
- Cooper ER, Charurat M, Mofenson L, Hanson IC, Pitt J, Diaz C, et al., Women and Infants' Transmission Study Group. Combination antiretroviral strategies for the treatment of pregnant HIV-1-infected women and prevention of perinatal HIV-1 transmission. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2002; 29:484– 494.
- Cotter AM, Garcia AG, Duthely ML, Luke B, O'Sullivan MJ. Is antiretroviral therapy during pregnancy associated with an increased risk of preterm delivery, low birth weight, or stillbirth? J Infect Dis 2006; 193:1195–1201.
- Dadabhai S, Gadama L, Chamanga R, Kawalazira R, Katumbi C, Makanani B, et al. Pregnancy Outcomes in the Era of Universal Antiretroviral Treatment in Sub-Saharan Africa (POISE Study). J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2019; 80:7–14.

- 41. de Souza RS, Gómez-Marín O, Scott GB, Guasti S, O'Sullivan MJ, Oliveira RH, Mitchell CD. Effect of prenatal zidovudine on disease progression in perinatally HIV-1-infected infants. / Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2000; 24:154–161.
- García-Otero L, López M, Guitart-Mampel M, Morén C, Goncé A, Esteve C, et al. Cardiac and mitochondrial function in HIVuninfected fetuses exposed to antiretroviral treatment. *PLoS* One 2019; 14:e0213279.
- 43. Goetghebuer T, Smolen KK, Adler C, Das J, McBride T, Smits G, et al. Initiation of antiretroviral therapy before pregnancy reduces the risk of infection-related hospitalization in human immunodeficiency virus-exposed uninfected infants born in a high-income country. Clin Infect Dis 2019; 68:1193–1203.
- Haeri S, Shauer M, Dale M, Leslie J, Baker AM, Saddlemire S, Boggess K. Obstetric and newborn infant outcomes in human immunodeficiency virus-infected women who receive highly active antiretroviral therapy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2009; 201:315.e1–315.e5.
- Hernández S, Catalán-García M, Morén C, García-Otero L, López M, Guitart-Mampel M, et al. Placental mitochondrial toxicity, oxidative stress, apoptosis, and adverse perinatal outcomes in HIV pregnancies under antiretroviral treatment containing zidovudine. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2017; 75: e113–e119.
- 46. Hu F, Liang JJ, Lu JJ, Hu YF, Hu Y, Yu J, et al. Effects of antiretroviral therapy and HIV exposure in utero on adverse pregnancy and infant outcomes: a prospective cohort study in Guangzhou, China. Biomed Enviro Sci 2019; **32**:719–729.
- Joseph O, Biodun O, Michael E. Pregnancy outcome among HIV positive women receiving antenatal HAART versus untreated maternal HIV infection. J College Phys Surg Pakistan 2011; 21:356–359.
- Jumare J, Datong P, Osawe S, Okolo F, Mohammed S, Inyang B, et al. Compromised growth among HIV-exposed uninfected compared with unexposed children in Nigeria. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 2019; 38:280–286.
- Kakkar F, Boucoiran I, Lamarre V, Ducruet T, Amre D, Soudeyns H, et al. Risk factors for preterm birth in a Canadian cohort of HIV-positive women: role of ritonavir boosting? / Int AIDS Soc 2015; 18:19933.
- Kowalska A, Niemiec T, el Midaoui A, Burkacka E. Effect of antiretroviral therapy on pregnancy outcome in HIV-1 positive women. *Med Wieku Rozwoj* 2003; 7:459–468.
- 51. Li H, Liu J, Tan D, Zheng J, Xiao J, Wang H, et al. Maternal HIV infection and risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes in Hunan province, China: a prospective cohort study. *Medicine (United States)* 2020; **99**:e19213.
- 52. Liff I, Zash R, Mingochi D, Diseko M, Mayondi G, Johnson K, et al. Mid-trimester cervical length not associated with HIV status among pregnant women in Botswana. *PLoS One* 2020; **15**:e0229500.
- Lopez M, Figueras F, Hernandez S, Lonca M, Garcia R, Palacio M, Coll O. Association of HIV infection with spontaneous and iatrogenic preterm delivery: effect of HAART. *AIDS* 2012; 26:37–43.
- Malaba TR, Phillips T, le Roux S, Brittain K, Zerbe A, Petro G, et al. Antiretroviral therapy use during pregnancy and adverse birth outcomes in South African women. Int J Epidemiol 2017; 46:1678–1689.
- Malaba TR, Newell ML, Madlala H, Perez A, Gray C, Myer L. Methods of gestational age assessment influence the observed association between antiretroviral therapy exposure, preterm delivery, and small-for-gestational age infants: a prospective study in Cape Town, South Africa. Ann Epidemiol 2018; 28:893–900.
- Mandelbrot L, le Chenadec J, Berrebi A, Bongain A, Bénifla JL, Delfraissy JF, et al. Perinatal HIV-1 transmission: interaction between zidovudine prophylaxis and mode of delivery in the French perinatal cohort. J Am Med Assoc 1998; 280:55–60.
- 57. Marazzi MC, Palombi L, Nielsen-Saines K, Haswell J, Zimba I, Magid NA, et al. Extended antenatal use of triple antiretroviral therapy for prevention of mother-to-child transmission of HIV-1 correlates with favorable pregnancy outcomes. *AIDS* 2011; 25:1611–1618.
- Martí C, Peña JM, Bates I, Madero R, de José I, Pallardo LF, et al. Obstetric and perinatal complications in HIV-infected women. Analysis of a cohort of 167 pregnancies between 1997 and 2003. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 2007; 86:409–415.

- Matheson PB, Abrams EJ, Thomas PA, Hernán MA, Thea DM, Lambert G, et al. Efficacy of antenatal zidovudine in reducing perinatal transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1. J Infect Dis 1995; 172:353–358.
- Mehta UC, van Schalkwyk C, Naidoo P, Ramkissoon A, Mhlongo O, Maharaj NR, et al. Birth outcomes following antiretroviral exposure during pregnancy: Initial results from a pregnancy exposure registry in South Africa. South Afr J HIV Med 2019; 20:971.
- Moodley T, Moodley D, Sebitloane M, Maharaj N, Sartorius B. Improved pregnancy outcomes with increasing antiretroviral coverage in South Africa. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2016; 16:35.
- 62. Moseholm E, Helleberg M, Sandholdt H, Katzenstein TL, Storgaard M, Pedersen G, et al. Children exposed or unexposed to human immunodeficiency virus: weight, height, and body mass index during the first 5 years of life-a Danish nationwide cohort. Clin Infect Dis 2020; 70:2168–2177.
- Olagbuji BN, Ezeanochie MC, Ande AB, Oboro VO. Obstetric and perinatal outcome in HIV positive women receiving HAART in urban Nigeria. Arch Gynecol Obstet 2010; 281:991–994.
- 64. Phiri K, Williams PL, Dugan KB, Fischer MA, Cooper WO, Seage GR 3rd, Hernandez-Diaz S. Antiretroviral therapy use during pregnancy and the risk of small for gestational age birth in a medicaid population. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 2015; **34**:e169– e175.
- Ramokolo V, Goga AE, Lombard C, Doherty T, Jackson DJ, Engebretsen IM. In utero ART exposure and birth and early growth outcomes among HIV-exposed uninfected infants attending immunization services: results from National PMTCT Surveillance, South Africa. Open Forum Infect Dis 2017; 4: ofx187.
- 66. Rempis EM, Schnack A, Decker S, Braun V, Rubaihayo J, Tumwesigye NM, et al. Option B+ for prevention of vertical HIV transmission has no influence on adverse birth outcomes in a cross-sectional cohort in Western Uganda. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2017; 17:82.
- Rudin C, Spaenhauer A, Keiser O, Rickenbach M, Kind C, Aebi-Popp K, et al. Antiretroviral therapy during pregnancy and premature birth: analysis of Swiss data. HIV Med 2011; 12:228–235.
- Santosa WB, Staines-Urias E, Tshivuila-Matala COO, Norris SA, Hemelaar J. Perinatal outcomes associated with maternal HIV and antiretroviral therapy in pregnancies with accurate gestational age in South Africa. *AIDS* 2019; 33:1623–1633.
- Saums MK, King CC, Adams JC, Sheth AN, Badell ML, Young M, et al. Combination antiretroviral therapy and hypertensive disorders of pregnancy. Obstet Gynecol 2019; 134:1205–1214.
- Schulte J, Dominguez K, Sukalac T, Bohannon B, Fowler MG. Declines in low birth weight and preterm birth among infants who were born to HIV-infected women during an era of increased use of maternal antiretroviral drugs: pediatric spectrum of HIV disease, 1989–2004. Pediatrics 2007; 119:e900– 906.
- Sebitloane HM, Moodley J. Maternal and obstetric complications among HIV-infected women treated with highly active antiretroviral treatment at a Regional Hospital in Durban, South Africa. Nigerian J Clin Pract 2017; 20:1360–1367.
- Short CE, Douglas M, Smith JH, Taylor GP. Preterm delivery risk in women initiating antiretroviral therapy to prevent HIV mother-to-child transmission. *HIV Med* 2014; 15:233–238.
- 73. Silverman M. Low birthweight associated with HAART in pregnancy in Zambia. In: 50th Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy (ICAAC). 2010. H-1662.
- Simonds RJ, Steketee R, Nesheim S, Matheson P, Palumbo P, Alger L, et al. Impact of zidovudine use on risk and risk factors for perinatal transmission of HIV. AIDS 1998; 12:301–308.
- 75. Snijdewind IJM, Smit C, Godfried MH, Bakker R, Nellen JFJB, Jaddoe VWV, et al. Preconception use of cART by HIV-positive pregnant women increases the risk of infants being born small for gestational age. PLoS One 2018; 13:e0191389.
- Tiam A, Kassaye SG, Machekano R, Tukei V, Gill MM, Mokone M, et al. Comparison of 6-week PMTCT outcomes for HIVexposed and HIV-unexposed infants in the era of lifelong ART: results from an observational prospective cohort study. PLoS One 2019; 14:e0226339.

- 77. van der Merwe K, Hoffman R, Black V, Chersich M, Coovadia A, Rees H. Birth outcomes in South African women receiving highly active antiretroviral therapy: a retrospective observational study. J Int AIDS Soc 2011; 14:42.
- 78. von Linstow ML, Rosenfeldt V, Lebech AM, Storgaard M, Hornstrup T, Katzenstein TL, et al. Prevention of mother-tochild transmission of HIV in Denmark, 1994-2008. HIV Med 2010: 11:448-456.
- 79. Watts DH, Williams PL, Kacanek D, Griner R, Rich K, Hazra R, et al., Pediatric HIV/AIDS Cohort Study. Combination antiretroviral use and preterm birth. / Infect Dis 2013; 207:612-621.
- Yu L, Li WY, Chen RY, Tang ZR, Pang J, Gui XZ, et al. Pregnancy 80. outcomes and risk factors for low birth weight and preterm delivery among HIV-infected pregnant women in Guangxi, China. Chin Med / 2012; 125:403-409.
- Zash R, Jacobson DL, Diseko M, Mayondi G, Mmalane M, Essex 81. M, et al. Comparative safety of dolutegravir-based or efavirenzbased antiretroviral treatment started during pregnancy in Botswana: an observational study. Lancet Global Health 2018; **6**:e804–e810.
- 82. Ziske J, Kunz A, Sewangi J, Lau I, Dugange F, Hauser A et al. Hematological changes in women and infants exposed to an AZT-containing regimen for prevention of mother-to-child-transmission of HIV in Tanzania. *PLoS One* 2013; 8: e55633.
- 83 Committee Opinion No 700: Methods for estimating the due date. Obstet Gynecol 2017; 129:e150-e154.
- 84 WHO. Consolidated guidelines on HIV prevention, testing, treatment, service delivery and monitoring: recommendations for a public health approach. Geneva: WHO; 2021.
- Uthman OA, Nachega JB, Anderson J, Kanters S, Mills EJ, Renaud F, et al. Timing of initiation of antiretroviral therapy 85 and adverse pregnancy outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet HIV 2017; 4:e21-e30.
- Stringer JSA, Stoner MC, Kasaro MP, Vwalika B, Cole SR. 86. Preconception ART and preterm birth: real effect or selection bias? Lancet HIV 2017; 4:e150. Bailey H, Zash R, Rasi V, Thorne C. HIV treatment in preg-
- 87. nancy. Lancet HIV 2018; 5:e457-e467
- Koss CA, Natureeba P, Plenty A, Luwedde F, Mwesigwa J, Ades 88. V, et al. Risk factors for preterm birth among HIV-infected pregnant ugandan women randomized to lopinavir/ritonavir-

or efavirenz-based antiretroviral therapy. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2014; 67:128-135.

- 89. Zash R, Holmes L, Diseko M, Jacobson DL, Brummel S, Mayondi G, et al. Neural-tube defects and antiretroviral treatment regimens in Botswana. New Engl J Med 2019; 381:827-840.
- Lockman S, Brummel SS, Ziemba L, Stranix-Chibanda L, 90. McCarthy K, Coletti A, et al. Efficacy and safety of dolutegravir with emtricitabine and tenofovir alafenamide fumarate or tenofovir disoproxil fumarate, and efavirenz, emtricitabine, and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate HIV antiretroviral therapy regimens started in pregnancy (IMPAACT 2010/VESTED): a multicentre, open-label, randomised, controlled, phase 3 trial. Lancet 2021; 397:1276-1292.
- 91 Barros FC, Papageorghiou AT, Victora CG, Noble JA, Pang R, lams J, et al. The distribution of clinical phenotypes of preterm birth syndrome implications for prevention. JAMA Pediatr 2015; **169**:220-229.
- Paiardini M, Müller-Trutwin M. HIV-associated chronic im-92 mune activation. Immunol Rev 2013; 254:78–101. Ravi K, Chan CYS, Akoto C, Zhang W, Vatish M, Norris SA, et al.
- 93. Changes in the V α 7.2+ CD161++ MAIT cell compartment in early pregnancy are associated with preterm birth in HIV-positive women. Am J Reprod Immunol 2020; 83:e13240.
- Akoto C, Chan CYS, Tshivuila-Matala COO, Ravi K, Zhang W, 94. Vatish M, et al. Innate lymphoid cells are reduced in pregnant HIV positive women and are associated with preterm birth. Sci Rep 2020; 10:13265.
- Akoto C, Norris SA, Hemelaar J. Maternal HIV infection is 95 associated with distinct systemic cytokine profiles throughout pregnancy in South African women. Sci Rep 2021; 11:1-15.
- 96 Dunk CE, Serghides L. Protease inhibitor-based antiretroviral therapy in pregnancy: effects on hormones, placenta, and decidua. Lancet HIV 2022; 9:e120-e129.
- 97. Papp E, Mohammadi H, Loutfy MR, Yudin MH, Murphy KE, Walmsley SL, et al. HIV protease inhibitor use during pregnancy is associated with decreased progesterone levels, suggesting a potential mechanism contributing to fetal growth restriction. J Infect Dis 2015; 211:10-18.
- Price JT, Vwalika B, Freeman BL, Cole SR, Saha PT, Mbewe FM, 98 et al. Weekly 17 alpha-hydroxyprogesterone caproate to prevent preterm birth among women living with HIV: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet HIV 2021; 8:e605-e613.